_____

PANDEMIC TO POLICE STATE: A Search for Answers About COVID-19 & the Worldwide Lockdown…

_____

I have put together this content in PDF form because my website was deleted last year by WordPress and I therefore don't currently have a platform. Also, as YouTube has shown a tendency to delete or remove my video content, putting this into PDF format seemed like the best course.

Please feel free to distribute this content as you see fit.

Speaking as someone who knows all about censorship, we seem to now be entering an age of maximised information control.

Facebook, Twitter and Google have actually been upfront about this, as far as this Corona virus crisis goes, having said they were working with the **World Health Organisation** to address "misinformation". (https://www.nytimes.com/2020/02/06/health/coronavirus-misinformation-social-media.html). In the UK, Of-Com has started to take action against radio and TV stations that have aired the views of people questioning the official narratives.

"Misinformation" presumably includes anything that veers too much into the realm of 'conspiracy' insinuation or anything that overtly questions or contradicts official narratives.

Extraordinarily, in some countries right now - including Hungary, India and China - the authorities are threatening not just fines, but JAIL TIME, for anyone considered to be spreading 'fake news' about the pandemic. Again, 'fake news', I'm assuming, includes any arguments or theories that contradict the official narratives of either the government in question or the World Health Organisation.

Now... all I want to do here is just look at some facts: most of which have been omitted by mainstream news media in their coverage of the pandemic and its possible roots. And, from those facts, to ask some pertinent questions.

I'll try to be careful - and certainly, I'll be balanced and unbiased: but, essentially, it's impossible to steer clear of the 'conspiracy content' label when the key facts or details you're looking at so clearly lend themselves to that.

In this document, the following will be covered as succinctly as possible;

'Event 201' in New York, September 2019: what was it and who was involved?
What happened in Wuhan, China? And was it covered up?
What role has the World Health Organisation played?
What sense can be made of all the conflicting conspiracy theories?
China vs. the United States – A False Paradigm?
Chapel Hill, the **Wuhan Institute of Virology** and the significance of a 2015 corona virus study.
Are there 3 different COVID-19 viruses spreading?
The lockdowns, the police state and the question of *agenda*.
China's Orwellian model and the age of 'medical surveillance'.
What is 'ID2020'?
The "New Normal" – and where do we go from here?
Postscript: A note on the tragic death of Maeve Kennedy McKean.

The intent here is not to spread 'misinformation' or encourage paranoia. Again, it is merely to ask pertinent questions that the corporate-run media isn't asking: and based on information that is being largely omitted from the very carefully curated mainstream discourse.

At this time, in which multiple cities and societies are in lockdown, normal civil liberties are being suspended (and, in some countries, people are being *killed* for disobeying isolation orders), and no one seems to know how long this is going to go on for or how it is going to be resolved, it is more important than ever to ask these questions.

———————————

Let's start with *this* then.

You may or may not have heard by now about something called the **'Event 201'** exercise, which took place in October last year, right?

The event, sponsored by the **Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security**, the **World Economic Forum**, and **Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation**, was held in New York on October 18th last year... *and its purpose was simulating the effects of a global pandemic*, particularly the global economic consequences of such a crisis.

Under the subsequent circumstances, I'm surprised they've left all this material up: but all the official stuff about this is still online, including videos from the event. (link: http://www.centerforhealthsecurity.org/event201/about).

On the event's 'about' page, this is some of what it (prophetically) says: *'The next severe pandemic will not only cause great illness and loss of life but could also trigger major cascading economic and societal consequences that could contribute greatly to global impact and suffering...'*

Cut to a few months later... and well, we all know what the current crisis is.

On the section that lays out the specific scenario that was being simulated (http://www.centerforhealthsecurity.org/event201/scenario.html), the website tells us; *'Event 201 simulates an outbreak of a novel zoonotic coronavirus transmitted from bats to pigs to people that eventually becomes efficiently transmissible from person to person, leading to a severe pandemic. The pathogen and the disease it causes are modeled largely on SARS, but it is more transmissible in the community setting by people with mild symptoms...'*

Again, somewhat prophetic.

And this event was about two months before the first reported major outbreak in Wuhan, China. It could be a coincidence. Or just remarkable foresight. But what are the chances that they would be running this hypothetical scenario at an event like this just two months prior to the outbreak in China?

If this was the only odd coincidence regarding this current pandemic crisis, we might be tempted to dismiss it. But... it isn't the only thing.

If you read on, and peruse the website, it gets more worrying: *'There is no possibility of a vaccine being available in the first year. There is a fictional antiviral drug that can help the sick but not significantly limit spread of the disease...'*

It continues; *'**Since the whole human population is susceptible, during the initial months of the pandemic, the cumulative number of cases increases exponentially**, doubling every week. And as the cases and deaths accumulate, the economic and societal consequences become increasingly severe...'*

It gets even more troubling, telling us that *'The scenario ends at the 18-month point, with 65 million deaths*.'

Hypothetical scenario or not, that's grim.

We should note that an addendum has been added to the website in the wake of the real-world corona virus outbreak, clarifying that the event wasn't specifically envisioning an outbreak in China and that its purely hypothetical scenario has nothing to do with the subsequent real-world outbreak. They were also clear to clarify that they don't envision a 65 million death-toll from this real-world COVID-19 pandemic.

Which is a relief. You can look at the summit's 'recommendations' here: http://www.centerforhealthsecurity.org/event201/recommendations.html.

But, naturally, Event 201 is the thing some people are looking to: and in that analysis, you would be tempted to cite Event 201 as kind of being to the COVID-19 pandemic what the *Project for the New American Century* essentially was to 9/11.

But... and we'll circle back to this... I have to ask why they'd hold such an event out in the open, in plain sight, if it was proof of a planned event. I mean, they haven't even removed or taken down any of the official material online. And no one is trying to hide the fact that the scenarios they happened to be running just happen to resemble the subsequent real-world corona virus pandemic.

So... I'm not 100 percent sure this event in New York should be held up as **the** primary or central smoking gun in any potential hypothesis of the COVID-19 crisis as a planned event.

But, certainly, it shouldn't be dismissed either. So we'll come back to this. And to **Bill Gates** – whose foundation was a big part of Event 201 – and who is, as you'll see, a recurring figure in this whole apparent equation.

And we also really need to talk about an elephant-in-the-room called **'ID2020'** - which we'll get to shortly. And also about joint Chinese-American scientific research that was conducted a few years ago.

But first, we have to look at China and Wuhan, where the pandemic allegedly started in December.

The first thing is that it seems evident there was a cover up by the Chinese government, whereby doctors and whistleblowers were being suppressed. As was widely reported, there were medical whistleblowers who wanted to get warnings out to the rest of the world, who were prevented from doing so - or who were harshly silenced by the authorities. Disappearing journalists and whistleblowers are no new thing in China, of course - and this has been no exception.

China was clearly engaged in a cover up, at least at the beginning: a result of this may have been that the rest of the world wasn't warned soon enough and other countries could've in fact had more time to prepare for what was coming, especially Italy. There are also claims that the World Health Organisation assisted China in downplaying or misrepresenting the nature of the situation in the Hubei Province: again, allowing the spread of the virus abroad to spiral.

China's handling of the situation was one of zero tolerance, forcing the entire province into lockdown and imposing the biggest mass quarantine in history.

Now, taking everything at face value and assuming all that we've been told is true, you could view this as prudent - and that China's policy was the most effective. And that's what a lot of commentators have said - even going so far as to say that China has *saved lives* worldwide through its no nonsense actions.

The leaked images and videos purporting to show instances of Chinese authorities violently controlling citizens were nothing surprising: as these are the kind of approaches you'd expect from a totalitarian state. This is the same totalitarian state, for example, that has been mass rounding up civilians into camps in Xinjiang Province or cracking down against Christians and Muslims.

What's been interesting is some of the praise of China's actions, particularly from the *World Health Organisation* - some of this praise has amounted almost to hailing the Chinese state as the saviours of the world. China's actions, they say, prevented this crisis from being much worse than it might've been.

But one has to wonder if the reason this praise for China is happening is actually a case of reading between the lines.

What's essentially being said by people like the Director-General of the WHO is that China, with its militaristic, totalitarian approach to population control has been the most effective, even heroic... while, by comparison, the soft, liberal democracies of the West have been slow and ineffective because they're too worried about human rights.

In other words, they're saying - between the lines - the totalitarian approach is best and the Western democracies are too weak.

The way some such commentators have spun things almost makes you think they're *advocating* for totalitarian-like population control: again, that is if you read between the lines.

And imagine how much advantage can be taken of that: witness now that Hungary's dictatorial right-wing leader, Viktor Orban, has seized complete control of government, suspending democracy and the rule of law - all under the pretext of combating the spread of the corona virus.

That's Orban and Hungary today: who else could follow suit in the months ahead?

Already we're seeing outbreaks of police brutality in India, all in the name of containing the COVID-19 threat. In India, which has essentially been under a fascist government for the last few years, now has some **1.3 billion people** in lockdown: and police have been shown violently beating and humiliating lower-class civilians found to be disobeying the isolation orders.

https://qz.com/india/1826387/indias-coronavirus-lockdown-brings-police-brutality-to-the-fore/

Civilians have been **shot dead** by the police in India for breaking curfew, others *beaten to death* for daring to go out to get vital groceries or hygiene products. Muslims are also being blamed for the presence of the corona virus and are being targeted for violence and harassment.

India right now is a perfect example of how this pandemic can be used as a pretext for brutality, dictatorship and police state. The same is true in the Philippines, where the pretext of the pandemic is being used as a justification for state brutality against the civilian population.

But we should come back to the question of whether you believe China's official version of events in Wuhan or not.

And clearly, not everyone does.

   "We were deceived," said WHO adviser Professor Lawrence Gostin of Georgetown University. "Myself and other public health experts, based on what the WHO and China were saying, reassured the public that this was not serious, that we could bring this under control... *We were giving a false sense of assurance*", he says.

(https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/asia_pacific/chinese-officials-note-serious-problems-in-coronavirus-response-the-world-health-organization-keeps-praising-them/2020/02/08/b663dd7c-4834-11ea-91ab-ce439aa5c7c1_story.html).

And it should also be borne in mind that the World Health Organisation isn't exactly the most trustworthy voice in the conversation: the WHO is mired in claims of rife corruption and cover-ups.

Moreover, China is a massive donor to the WHO - and, if there's one truth in this world of ours, it's that money talks. So it's no surprise that the WHO would have China's back. The WHO's director general - a man named **Tedros Ghebreyesus** - in fact has close links to China, is seen by some as a Chinese puppet, and was formerly a minister in Ethiopia's Marxist People's Revolutionary Front: an organisation implicated in... shall we say, less than friendly, actions and policies in Ethiopia.

Ghebreyesus's appointment as director-general of the World Health Organisation was in fact met with protest, particularly from within Ethiopia.

(https://www.ethiopianregistrar.com/opponents-director-general-nominee-tedros-adhanom-represents-ethiopias-repressive-government/).

I mean, why is a guy like this the Director General of the World Health Organisation anyway? How does that happen?

But the WHO, like a number of governments and international bodies, seem hesitant to overly criticise China: and this is no doubt largely because China has its hands in so many countries, economies and institutions – the World Health Organisation being one of them.

Politics and, as usual, money, has a lot to do with how the reality is presented to us.

_____

If, as Professor Gostin seems to suggest, the WHO was complicit in the cover-up, it certainly raises questions.

_____

Dr. Francis Boyle, who drafted the **Biological Weapons Act** in the US, has garnered attention online for stating that this COVID-19 is an offensive Biological Warfare Weapon and that the World Health Organization *knew* about it and was in fact involved in it.

For the record – and this will become more significant when we talk about 'ID2020' – **Bill Gates** is also a major donor to the World Health Organisation (he is, in fact, it's second biggest donor). The United States is, overall, the biggest funder of the WHO still.

The question is: is the virus outbreak a result of an accident and then an attempted cover-up... or is it a manufactured crisis from the ground up?

I'm not sure most people buy the story about the virus emerging from the market in Wuhan. And again - to be clear - Wuhan, where the whole crisis apparently started, *is the site of a Chinese military lab engaged in biological research*.

Which, I'd have to argue, is an even more obvious smoking gun than the Event 201 exercise in New York.

According to various sources, a Chinese "biological weapons" program, based at the **Wuhan Institute of Virology** (WIV), has seen at least two leaks of viruses in the last three years. The Conservative MP, Tobias Elwood, who was the chairman of the British Defence Select Committee until 2019, had expressed concerns just a couple of months ago over the Chinese Army's role at the Wuhan Institute for Biological Products.

Again, there are two ways you can go from here: either that the virus was unintentionally released or that it was released on purpose. If it was unintentionally released, then the argument would be that the Chinese authorities then engaged in an initial cover-up - a cover-up that, arguably, might've caused the virus to spread abroad to foreign populations unprepared for its arrival.

https://www.thestatesman.com/world/china-obstructs-discussion-coronavirus-unsc-blocks-draft-seeking-full-transparency-1502870418.html

While, if it was on *purpose*, then you have to ask *why*.

If it was deliberate, then it's probably unlikely that the Chinese state was acting in isolation, especially if the World Health Organisation was complicit - and you would have to ask whether this whole thing has in fact been a planned crisis, with China merely as the planned starting point.

Of course, conspiracy theories have been flying around in every direction and from every source - albeit with very different conclusions as to who the real villain of the story is.

Some articles on popular sites in Chinese, for example, have pointed the finger of suspicion at US military athletes participating in the Wuhan 2019 Military World Games (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2019_Military_World_Games/), which lasted until the end of October 2019: and have suggested that they brought the virus to China.

There were also popular (but difficult-to-substantiate) claims circulating that Chinese agents stole samples of the virus from Canada and took them back to China.

And there are some other factors to mention here, concerning, for example, the mysterious outbreak in parts of the US some months ago: and the belief held by some that the COVID-19 outbreak actually originated in the US and not in China.

A military biological lab in Fort Detrick, Maryland, was closed down in August 2019 (https://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-7329211/US-government-shuts-military-lab-studying-Ebola-plague-safety-fears.html/): and this was apparently after a "mystery respiratory illness" broke out, striking at elderly people in particular. There were reportedly 'clusters' of people suffering from this outbreak, including a number of deaths.

The Center for Disease Control had apparently ordered the military to shut down the site: but a cover-up was maintained, citing national security concerns. The media was covering this outbreak as being related to 'vaping illness' - suggesting that all of these cases were caused by vaping or e-cigarettes. But, as some observers have noted, the symptoms were apparently almost identical to the symptoms being described with COVID-19: and there's been no talk before or since then of any kind of 'vaping illness' outbreak happening anywhere.

It's important to note that Fort Detrick has a particularly shady history involving the CIA, bio warfare research and also the infamous MK-Ultra and mind control experiments. This article last year - appearing in as mainstream a source as *Politico* - lays out the lab's history and controversies: https://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2019/09/15/cia-fort-detrick-stephen-kinzer-228109/.

That angle seems to be suggesting the US as a source for the virus. Which is possible.

The problem with this, however, is that you'd still have to acknowledge **that *there just happens to be a Chinese biological research lab in Wuhan*,** where the outbreak happened: which is just too much of an apparent smoking gun to just chalk up to coincidence.

If you examine most viewpoints - particularly in so-called conspiracy theory realms - the tendency seems to be to either exclusively blame China and call it a Chinese conspiracy or to blame elements of the United States, calling it an American plot.

But, to my mind, this is where you have to step away a little from the rigid view that China and the US are purely rivals: or are working against each other.

It has for a long time occurred to me that peoples' tendency to adopt an either/or paradigm might be flawed. In other words, peoples' tendency is to view China and the US as being at war or as being global rivals. On a basic level, this is true, of course: geopolitically, the US and China *are* rivals.

But that doesn't mean that Chinese and American agencies or respective Deep-State elements wouldn't work together for specific circumstances or reasons. For all the perception of China and the US as adversaries, it's worth noting how economically entangled the two powers are; how much financial involvement China has in the US, for example, as well as in the UK and Europe.

To cite one example, I wrote a long article last year - prior to my website being shut down by WordPress - about how Erik Prince, the American founder of Blackwater and an advisor to President Trump, was in China, working with Chinese military, potentially including involvement in the mass internment and suppression of minority groups in Xinjiang Province. Prince was trying to establish Chinese mercenary groups based on the Blackwater model that drew so much condemnation during the Iraq War. Via Prince, Chinese elements were also implicated in the Cambridge Analytica conspiracy to help get Trump elected president.

If I can re-establish my website and its archive, that article and all the information in it will be available to read again. For now, unfortunately, it isn't.

But that's just one example of how the whole China vs US paradigm isn't necessarily all-encompassing: and that, often, there are shared agendas behind the veil – which override surface-level disputes.

With that in mind, a little probing beneath the surface can show us precisely how this pandemic crisis - centered in Wuhan, China - plays into that paradigm.


And here we need to look back to a paper published in 2015 at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.

A key figure here was Shi Zhengli. And Shi Zhengli was from the Wuhan Institute of Virology; specifically the 'Key Laboratory of Special Pathogens and Bio Safety'.

She was part of an *investigation into bat coronaviruses*, with experiments involving both the SARS and bat coronaviruses. This was a joint research between the University of North Carolina and the Wuhan Institute of Virology. Zheng Li-Shi received grants from the US government (and is a Fellow of the American Academy of Microbiology), as well as from half a dozen scientific organisations in China: to carry out this research into corona viruses.

See here: https://www.the-scientist.com/news-opinion/lab-made-coronavirus-triggers-debate-34502/
And here: https://www.nature.com/news/engineered-bat-virus-stirs-debate-over-risky-research-1.18787/

Articles from the time highlight that some scientists were concerned about *'whether the information gleaned from the experiment justifies the potential risk.'* One virologist at the Pasteur Institute in Paris expressed concern that the researchers had *'created a novel virus that "grows remarkably well" in human cells'*. He warned, *"If the virus escaped, nobody could predict the trajectory*..."

It was reported that funding for this research, at least on the American side of it, was discontinued: with a moratorium on virology experiments that were considered too risky (https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2014/11/moratorium-risky-virology-studies-leaves-work-14-institutions-limbo/). But the research presumably continued on the Chinese side.

So, to summarise, that's a scientist from the Wuhan Institute of Virology, specifically conducting experiments with corona viruses - and ground zero of this 2019/2020 corona virus outbreak just *happened* to be Wuhan, where the Chinese bio-lab just *happens* to be located.

If you go back and read some of these old articles now, you'll note that editorial disclaimers have been added (dated March 2020), warning that these reports should not be used as *'the basis for unverified theories that the novel coronavirus causing COVID-19 was engineered. There is no evidence that this is true...'*

It's unusual for editors to go back and add such amendments to articles that are five years old. You could cite this as a valid attempt to combat misinformation or misinterpretation: or you could cite it as evidence that people were worried that these articles were potentially giving too much away and potentially lending weight to peoples' suspicions about the nature of this crisis.

Clearly Chinese and American institutions were engaged in the research, even if the Americans supposedly terminated their side of the experiments at some earlier stage. Or did they?

The question again is whether China, for some reason, released the virus on purpose - or whether it was an accident that they then attempted to cover up.

Or whether elements in China and the US both played a part - again, it's curious that the Wuhan Military Games just happened to take place in October 2019, and that the 'Event 201' summit just happened to take place the same month in New York.

In that context, you could say that, yes, there's a biological research lab in Wuhan and Wuhan is where the outbreak happened - and that adds up. And also yes, there was this simulation of precisely this pandemic in New York a few months ago... and yes, now New York is under total lockdown for real and is the epicentre of the crisis, at least in America.

This is the point at which to mention that there is an interesting variation of the narrative that is only now starting to emerge: specifically, the implication that there may be not just one, *but three*, variations of the COVID-19 virus in circulation.

Experts from Cambridge University were reportedly able to map the genetic history of COVID-19 from December to March, suggesting that *the virus has three distinct strains*, all of which are closely related to each other.

Type B is the most common in the UK and Europe.

A study published in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America (PNAS) suggests **Type A** was more common in the United States, with Type B being more prevalent in China.
https://www.pnas.org/content/early/2020/04/07/2004999117

So, if these findings are true, what does that tell us? For one thing, it might be telling us that this pandemic is going to take a long time to deal with.

But, more interestingly, it may suggest *that there were **separate** outbreaks or start-points for the spread of this virus*. To be clear, the claim being made in scientific journals isn't that: it's more that each strain of the virus branched off from the first. *However, what if the conclusion they're trying to avoid is that there were separate outbreaks and start-points?*

Think about it in the context of the other information we've looked at here. The shut-down of Fort Detrick in Maryland and the mystery outbreak in the United States last year. The bio lab in Wuhan, China, right next to the source of the Chinese outbreak. Even the extraordinary way in which Italy, for some reason, saw such a drastic outbreak that spiralled so quickly.

We've already established that Chinese and American institutions were involved in joint experiments into bat corona viruses in 2015. Could it be that different strains of the virus were released at different source-points - and that this is why there are three different strains in circulation?

This is only a speculation on my part. But, if this were the case, it would certainly mean the virus was released *deliberately* - by different actors and in separate locations, but presumably acting in unison to manufacture a maximum global spread.

So, in my view, it's certainly a possibility that elements in China and the US - and probably other international agencies or governments, including the **World Health Organisation** and **World Economic Forum** - could've brought this crisis into existence together.

Perhaps to service a shared agenda.

_____

So the next question is: what would that agenda be…?

Well, that's where you find no shortage of suggestions: encompassing every possibility, from a planned economic crash and global reset, the movement towards the cashless society and purely digital economy, the narrowing of civil liberties and rights of assembly, the possible maintaining of martial law and potentially indefinite police-state conditions, and so on.

Take your pick.

Any or all of those things could be on the cards: and, in effect, half of them are being put into practise already, if you observe what's been going on in multiple cities around the world. In terms of an economic crash and reset, the looming likelihood of such a crash has been talked about for years now - since at least 2008, with various commentators saying a massive systems failure has been coming.

It seems to be widely accepted that this current deadly stage of the pandemic is a precursor to bigger problems down the line. This piece from French media, for example, paints a grim picture of the troubles ahead (https://www.alaindebenoist.com/2020/04/02/coronavirus-des-consequences-de-premiere-grandeur/): *'...[The economic crisis] will last much longer than the current epidemic; it will do far more damage and kill far more people. If it goes hand in hand with a global financial crisis, we will be witnessing then a tsunami: an economic crisis and therefore a social crisis, financial crisis, health crisis, ecological crisis, migration crisis. In 2011 I published a book called Au bord du gouffre (On the Brink of the Abyss). It seems to me that we have arrived there now...'*

And a central theme of the Event 201 summit was *precisely* to look at the global economic effects of the pandemic.

So... is it possible this whole crisis is, in part, paving the way for a kind of controlled collapse and rebooting of the system? Aside from the immediate coverage of the pandemic, the media has been full of concerns or predictions about the possibly severe economic fall-out from this crisis, even in some cases going so far as to predict a new Great Depression.

Would it be over-dramatic to ask at this stage if we're living in the end days of the old world order? Are we being stage-managed into a new social and economic reality?

And with a full package of across-the-board upgrades - everything from an abrupt transition to a cashless system, coupled with total surveillance and a move towards maximum control of societies and citizens?

Or has all of this been an accident - with all of the response being merely a case of necessary precautions and measures?

If it wasn't an accident, it doesn't take much of a suspicious mind to observe how much potentially Orwellian framework is being constructed around us right now - and how much of the classic, even clichéd, 'New World Order'-type apparatus is potentially being put into play while we all panic about the virus and voluntarily give up our civil liberties.

For anyone trying or hoping to establish that classic 'New World Order'-style system, this whole crisis seems to be an ideal scenario.

You would get to subject multiple nations - in fact, pretty much *every nation* - to the same crisis: so that you can later subject every nation to the same solutions, whatever those solutions are. With multiple nations suffering the same problems at the same time and seemingly moving through it in lock-step, you have a crisis without borders - acting as a pretext for an eventual solution without borders.

And the golden and historic opportunity for institutions and agencies with longstanding agendas to use this crisis as the means by which to acquire maximum control.

Although it might not be the case at all, this crisis does **look** remarkably like the kind of end-game event that conspiracy literature has been talking about for many years.

———————————

It's interesting that this crisis originated in China specifically – at least according to the prevailing narrative.

It has been suggested for years that China is in fact the model for the classic 'New World Order'. I kind of suggested this myself in a series of articles a few years ago - which I can't share with you anymore, because my site and my archive were deleted by the Internet Police.

China may, on a surface level, present as a Communist regime - but in fact it is an oligarchy: which dovetails perfectly smoothly with the rest of the oligarchies, be they in the United States, Britain, Russia or anywhere else. The supposed ideological divide between China and the West is merely surface level - in terms of the oligarchy, corruption, financial dealings and the supremacy of the 1%, you could argue that everyone's part of the same club and system.

You can see this, for example, in the extent of China's financial involvement in the US, Britain and Europe - as well as in Africa and Asia. And in China's influence in the World Health Organisation. If any of our governments or any of these international bodies really had any moral opposition to the Chinese regime, then the Chinese state wouldn't wield so much influence.

Indebtedness to or dependence on China is so widespread that, for the most part, there has been and is very little so-called 'opposition' to China: or to Chinese interests.

The exception seemed to have been last year, when there was some overt push-back against China's move to position itself as the primary pioneer or implementer in 5G technology: though this was generally a case of Western governments simply wanting to keep the 5G revolution in their own hands: since, regardless of widespread claims about the detrimental health effects of 5G, all modern nations appear intent on pushing ahead with it anyway.

But I asked in some of those older articles whether it was possible that China was being *allowed* to develop the frameworks for global world government and control without any opposition or interference from the outside.

Note that China has only just recently rolled out its **'Social Credit System'** - a total population control system designed to completely control every citizen and every citizens' activities and possibilities: including the ability to restrict individual citizens' ability to travel, to have access to public services, and in general to participate fully in 'the system'. The key is that those citizens' rights to access the system are determined by their 'social credit' - in other words, whether the state deems them as good enough citizens. And that judgement is based on a number of things, including things like who they associate with, what websites they visit and what kind of social media posts they make.

Needless to say, any rebellious or unpatriotic behaviour - including, presumably, any kind of *anti-government leanings* - will lead a citizen towards a bad 'social credit' score: and will, in turn, result in a diminished ability to participate in key systems or access key services.

In effect, this Orwellian system amounted to the literal Thought Police being able to determine what rights or liberties any citizen should be allowed - potentially based not just on their activities, but their associations, their beliefs or their leanings.

The reason this 'social credit' system in China is very significant to our current subject will become clear in a moment, specifically in regard to 'ID2020'.

Fast forward a short while later and we have this global pandemic grounding every nation to a halt and pushing most of the world suspiciously close to something resembling martial law: and there's talk of maximum surveillance techniques being employed to track the movements of citizens - *for medical reasons*, of course.

In Israel now, for example, the police are using spying tech to track every citizen's movements, activities and associations - literally everywhere anyone goes, who they meet or interact with: all under the pretext of combating the corona virus, of course.

And, oh look, Mr Gates is also calling for a national 'tracking system' to keep tabs on citizens.

And there are strong implications that this strategy may be picked up by other countries, as we move forward.

This is what James Corbett has referred to as **'medical martial law'** (https://www.corbettreport.com/mml2020/).

For the longest time, any of us who suspected that certain governments were quietly working towards maximum surveillance, police-state conditions and a martial-law-like situation, had assumed - as I did - that it would be brought about via the manufactured threat of terrorism: since this seemed to be the game for years.

But medical martial law might be a much better pretext.

And medical martial law is pretty much where we're all at right now - with medical-based surveillance being widely discussed as a key part of the solution to this crisis.

For example, Google has just confirmed that it will use the Google Play Services infrastructure to update Android phones with the upcoming COVID-19 contact tracing system it is building in collaboration with Apple.

This seems to be an opt-in service for now; but there's no telling how things will develop down the line.

And this brings us neatly to the last item I want to talk about: to something called **'ID2020'**.

What is id2020?

Well, a quick Google search will tell you that the ID2020 Alliance is a 'digital ID program': but, more specifically, a project that is looking for ***'the opportunity for immunization to serve as a platform for digital identity...'***

Which, given where we are right now, is more than interesting: because that's very specific language - it's not just about implementing a digital ID system, but specifically using *immunisation* as the initial pretext for it.

The official ID2020 website tells us (https://id2020.org/digital-identity/), *'A unique convergence of trends provides an unprecedented opportunity to make a coordinated, concerted push to provide digital ID to everyone...*'

The ID2020 Alliance launched its new digital identity program at its annual summit in New York - which *was in September 2019* (curiously, one month before the aforementioned 'Event 201' pandemic-simulating summit, also in New York). Note too that the vaccine-king, **Bill Gates**, was a sponsor of both 'Event 201' and the - seemingly - unrelated ID2020 project. Note again too that Bill Gates is the second biggest donor to the World Health Organisation – which has been implicated in assisting China's initial cover-up.

We were told the program was designed to *'leverage **immunization** as an opportunity to establish digital identity'*.

What is meant by digital identity?

According to official spiel, 'Digital identity is a computerized record of who a person is, stored in a registry.' But what's most relevant to our current crisis is that *'It is used, in this case, to keep track of who has received vaccination...'*

Again, curious that this ID2020 summit was last year - just a month, in fact, before the 'Event 201' pandemic exercise in New York.

GAVI (Global Alliance for Vaccinations and Immunisation), which is supported by the World Health Organisation, had decided to roll out the program in **2020**, with a test run in Bangladesh.

It's rather curious that the initiative just *happens* to be called 'ID2020', is based initially on leveraging **'immunization as an opportunity to establish digital identity'** and has been put on a test run in *2020* - and that a massive, worldwide pandemic just *happens* to have occurred at the beginning of 2020 too (with countries now scrambling to find a vaccine).

Now, if you examine the official manifesto, there are good, seemingly noble arguments or justifications for the digital ID: primarily the ability to give better rights and possibilities to vulnerable or marginalised peoples, such as refugees and stateless individuals or even homeless people in general (by affording them official recognition and accompanying human rights).

So I wholly acknowledge that, on the surface of it, there are laudable motives for the programme.

On the other hand, you could also just as easily perceive these initial justifications as mere *pretext*; with the real idea being to get everyone in the world digitally registered and digitally tracked with biometrics.

Getting everyone into one all-encompassing system of surveillance and control: beginning perhaps with a mandatory vaccination.

And they're completely open about that fact: *that vaccination is what they view as the way to get ID2020 rolling*.

And now, in 2020, coincidentally, we're in a crisis where countries are scrambling to find a vaccine for this COVID-19 virus - and where there's a distinct possibility that mandatory vaccination will be forced on us somewhere down the line.

Coincidence? I mean, maybe. Just like it could be a coincidence that there's a bio lab in Wuhan, right next to where the virus apparently broke out.

But it doesn't even seem that paranoid to wonder if, when a vaccine is eventually being offered, it will be as part of a mandatory digital-ID system as envisioned by ID2020: again, pay attention to the language being used in a lot of commentary and journalism right now and you hear people talking about medical surveillance and the need to track our movements in order to combat the spread of COVID-19 (as Israel is doing right now already, and as South Korea was already doing: and, again, as Bill Gates is calling for).

It would take something on the scale of this pandemic - and its truly global impact - to pave the way for ID2020 to eventually be rolled out across the world.

On the point about ID2020's plan being to 'go live' in Bangladesh first, there's also a deeply worrying news item I saw a day or two ago. It doesn't talk about ID2020 at all, but it does paint a horrifying picture of the danger COVID-19 may pose to Bangladesh specifically: where most countries so far have counted casualties in either the hundreds or thousands, this news report suggests as many as **2 million** people could die from the virus in that poverty-stricken country.

Prompting me to wonder if a catastrophic potential death-toll in Bangladesh might be used to impart maximum momentum to ID2020.

But consider this: once something like ID2020 is established, what if the worst-case scenario is to marry this system up with something like China's social credit system?

Again, a system of total control: with vaccination merely as the pretext for our initial cooperation.

If you look at the language in the official ID2020 literature, it's clear that they envision a future in which *everyone* is assimilated into this system: even if they were being careful to talk only about a limited roll-out at the time.

In *a Biometric Update* article from last year ('ID2020 and partners launch program to provide digital ID with vaccines': https://www.biometricupdate.com/201909/id2020-and-partners-launch-program-to-provide-digital-id-with-vaccines/), we're told that *'The program harnesses existing birth registration and vaccination operations to provide newborns with a portable and persistent biometrically-linked digital identity...'*

Which pretty much says the intent is for **everyone** in the future to be plugged into this system from birth.

Scroll down the list of trending articles on the *Biometric Update* site, by the way, and they're all suggestive of this direction, particularly in the wake of the corona virus problem.

And yes, the elephant in the room here is that this is all very 'Mark of the Beast' and Biblical. I don't really want to go down that road here, as it's not my style: but at this point I wouldn't blame anyone for doing so.

And again, let's reiterate one more time: ID2020 had its big event in the **same month** as the 'Event 201' pandemic exercise - both of them in New York. And both of them just weeks before the COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan.

We know that the planned consequences of an event or the solution to the problem often precede the occurrence of the problem itself: in other words that a desired end game or solution is already prefabricated... and that the problem or crisis that occurs is simply the pretext for getting to that desired end game or solution. And we can cite 9/11 is a good example of that: where half a dozen desired outcomes and responses were already in place and the event itself was simply the necessary catalyst for moving in that direction.

No surprise then that the aforementioned Tedros Ghebreyesus, director-general of the World Health Organisation, has been using this pandemic to advocate for digital currency and the abolition of physical cash: because, you know, we don't want people transmitting the virus via the exchange of physical money, etc.

I'm aware too that in some places now, stores are refusing to take cash payments – again, because it might spread the virus.

Which, coincidentally, pushes us even more towards the system of digital identity and digital economy: as championed by ID2020 - and as put into practise by China's social-credit system already. And, again, note that the WHO is seen as being excessively in China's pocket – and that Bill Gates is the WHO's second biggest donor.

It all seems to be coming together rather perfectly.

And, as far as the move towards a cashless society goes, it's worth remembering that India's fascist/nationalist government – which is currently standing by while police brutalise and humiliate 'lockdown violators' – was recently the frontrunner in trial-running the cashless system. By all accounts, it – like everything the Indian government does – did not go very well.

—————————————

Is a vaccine going to be found?

Does one already exist, being deliberately held back until this pandemic crisis has had the full global impact it is intended to? Either is possible - but let's assume a vaccine genuinely hasn't been found yet.

If a vaccine is found any time soon, it could come from any of the countries currently trying to find one. Though said vaccine could come from the US or even China or a dozen other countries, there's a possibility that it's going to end up coming from Israel. There are various reports that the **Israeli Institute for Biological Research** has said they could announce a major breakthrough for a vaccine for COVID-19.

The institute, located in the town of Nes Tziona, is in fact a part of the Israel Defense Forces. There's something that feels almost scripted about the idea of the Israeli Defense Forces saving the world from its terrible crisis. But this could end up being what happens.

https://www.thetravelmagazine.net/a-vaccine-for-coronavirus-could-be-available-soon-say-scientists-in-israel.html#ixzz6IPFwvXVd/

That said, it also wouldn't be surprising - script wise - if the vaccine came either from China or from the Bill Gates foundation: as Gates - who, again, was involved in both the 'Event 201' summit and the 'ID2020' conference - has long positioned himself as the world's chief advocate for vaccination programmes.

And, naturally, Mr Gates has also been positioned at the public forefront of this COVID-19 crisis: perhaps as the imminent saviour of the world.

Unsurprisingly, Bill Gates did a Reddit AMA session on March 18th, in which he said: ***"Eventually we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently... or, when we have a vaccine, who has received it".***

Again, ID2020 in a nutshell. And again, it appears to be a case of the 'solution' conveniently pre-dating or preceding the 'problem'.

An assistant professor of bio-engineering at Rice was in fact approached by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation to develop **'quantum-dot tags'** that *'fluoresce with information after they're injected as part of a vaccination*.'

According to articles on the subject, *'they deliver the vaccine and leave the pattern of tags just under the skin**, where they become something like a bar-code tattoo**... Instead of ink, this highly specific medical record consists of copper-based quantum dots embedded in biocompatible, micron-scale capsules. Their near-infrared dye is invisible, but the pattern they set can be read and interpreted by a customized smartphone...*'

https://bioengineering.rice.edu/news/quantum-dot-tattoos-hold-vaccination-record/

The article is dated December 19th 2019.

And again, I hate to say it (as it goes against my intellectual instincts), but it has 'Mark of the Beast' written all over it. Maybe Mr Gates and co are big fans of the *Book of Revelations*…?

———————————

And with all of that in mind, there was an article that really got my attention recently: published in March by Gideon Lichfield in *Technology Review* (https://www.technologyreview.com/s/615370/coronavirus-pandemic-social-distancing-18-months/) and titled **'We're not going back to normal'**.

In it, he's talking about the potential 18 months of social distancing because of the corona virus. He writes, *'We don't know exactly what this new future looks like, of course. But one can imagine a world in which, to get on a flight, perhaps you'll have to be signed up to a service that tracks your movements via your phone... There'd be similar requirements at the entrance to large venues, government buildings, or public transport hubs. There would be temperature scanners everywhere, and your workplace might demand you wear a monitor that tracks your temperature or other vital signs. Where nightclubs ask for proof of age, in future **they might ask for proof of immunity** — an identity card or some kind of digital verification via your phone, showing you've already recovered from or **been vaccinated against the latest virus strains**...*'

Well, again, that's ID2020 right there, isn't it?

And as the article goes on, it seems to envision a near-future more in line with China's social-credit system. *'The true cost will be borne by the poorest and weakest. People with less access to health care, or who live in more disease-prone areas, will now also be more frequently shut out of places and opportunities open to everyone else...'*

'Moreover, unless there are strict rules on how someone's risk for disease is assessed, governments or companies could choose any criteria—you're high-risk if you earn less than $50,000 a year, are in a family of more than six people, and live in certain parts of the country, for example. That creates scope for algorithmic bias and hidden discrimination, as happened last year with an algorithm used by US health insurers that turned out to inadvertently favor white people...'

What the article predicts - especially the systemic biases and of certain people being potentially shut out of the places and opportunities available to everyone else - is remarkably in line with what we know about China's Orwellian social credit system.

And again, it's this prediction that strikes me the most: '...*in future they might ask for proof of immunity* — *an identity card or some kind of **digital verification** via your phone, showing you've already recovered from **or been vaccinated against the latest virus strains**...*'

It's a grim, dystopian near-future the article is foreseeing. But the author is no conspiracy theorist and no questioner of the official narratives: he is simply projecting the likeliest future based on the current variables.

And it just so happens, again, to resemble ID2020 in a nutshell: remember, ID2020's raison-detre is to..' ***'leverage immunisation as an opportunity to establish digital identity…'***

The article doesn't mention ID2020 at all (in fact, you'd be hard pressed to find *any* corona-virus-related article that does mention ID2020) - but it's hard not to make the connection.

But here's the most striking line in the article, where he says, *'The intrusive surveillance will be considered a small price to pay for the basic freedom to be with other people...'*

Just think about that... *'for the basic freedom to be with other people'.*

Is that what it will come down to? Is that what the trade-off will be…? That's one of the bleakest things I've ever heard in my life.

It seems like we are eventually heading towards a fork in the road: where, both as societies and as individuals, we're going to be a given a very difficult choice. A choice about whether we want to preserve our privacy and our civil liberties... or to rejoin society: or whatever new, modified version of society we'll be talking about by then.

And quite what's going to become of all the people who **reject** the surveillance demands or possible mandatory vaccinations - and there's going to be a lot of people like that - is anyone's guess at this stage. At the very least, that could end up creating a new kind of class system or underclass - a separation between the 'vaccinated' and non-vaccinated or between the 'tagged' and 'untagged'.

Does that eventually become something on a par with what they have in India, with the caste system - you know, where there are the so-called 'Untouchables', who basically are treated like shit by Indian society and have absolutely no life possibilities...?

———————————

So, with all of this having been explored, where does that leave us?

Of course, for the moment, the reasons for everything that's going on are to prevent the spread of the virus among populations. Which is sensible. But what about in the long run? Total surveillance of citizens - based on medical surveillance, but eventually including everything else too?

If or when this corona virus crisis passes - assuming it ever does - would such surveillance measures be withdrawn again?

Or would it be permanent...?

Well, let's be realistic: excessive and unlawful powers obtained via crisis are rarely, if ever, retracted again. 19 years after the 9/11 attack, the Patriot Act in the US is still in place. And Guantanamo Bay is still there.

A really perfect example of this is something that I wrote about some years ago: specifically the state of emergency in France that was initiated late in 2015. Let's just recap that briefly here. In November 2015, the terror attacks in Paris prompted the government to initiate a state of emergency, which included armed military being deployed into the streets and public places. As the months went on, however, resistance to this ongoing state of emergency began to grow, with civil rights' groups asking how long this was going to go on for. The government was coming under pressure to give a fixed date at which the state of emergency would be terminated and normal law could resume.

So a date was given: the president vowed that on July 14th that year the state of emergency would end. Now, in an older article I had almost jokingly said that it would be ironic for a terror attack to happen on July 14th, because then the government could use it to renew the emergency powers indefinitely. I was half joking: but then, on July 14th, what happened*? A supposed terrorist used a lorry to carry out a mass attack on civilians in Nice* - and lo and behold, the state of emergency was renewed.

And that's how this shit tends to work.

Likewise, with all these things established in the last few weeks - forced social isolation, curfews in some places, the army being sent into public places in some cases, police-state conditions in some places, proposals for medical-based surveillance - you have to wonder whether the genie can be put back in the bottle.

Or is it that, once these extraordinary precedents are set - and we, the public, have complied with them and accepted them - they can be made to become the 'new normal'...?

That term, by the way - **'the new normal'** - is something you're hearing a lot lately in respect to this situation. It's as if they're parroting that term - 'the new normal' - in order to soften us up to the idea that things just aren't going to be going back to the way they were.

What if - as a number of experts have suggested - this pandemic keeps returning periodically or even proves impossible to fully eliminate?

In that scenario, the governments or agencies will have the perfect reason for maintaining their emergency measures indefinitely - because we're facing a continuous and recurring enemy or threat: and, you know, for our own safety and survival we have to submit to permanent surveillance and tracking, as well as ongoing police state conditions and curfews and all the rest of it.

Again, in Hungary, the right-wing dictator Viktor Orban has already suspended democracy and assumed total executive power in response to the pandemic: and, again, in India and the Philippines the police have been brutalising and *murdering* people for violating the lockdown. In various countries, not only are populations in lockdown but in some cases people are being threatened with **jail** if they 'spread fake news' about the covid-19 pandemic.

What qualifies as 'fake news' when it comes to this situation?

Anything that refutes or questions the official narratives? Am I spreading 'fake news' right now? Or just asking questions - and highlighting some key facts that corporate news media are failing to highlight?

Just for one moment, take the corona virus threat out of the equation. Imagine that there was no virus - and then observe what the governments are doing right now. Telling people to stay indoors and to avoid contact with other human beings. Shutting down all public gatherings and social events.

In many places, sending the military out into the streets to enforce the curfews and control the population. In Paris or in Madrid right now, for example, police are able to arrest or fine people found to be out in the streets without a good reason.

In lots of cities, including London, you have to have papers on you when you go outside - to show to police to prove that you're 'allowed' to be out in public.

That's *1984,* **right there -** that's Orwell's vision in a nutshell. In some cases, there are drones in the air, looking for rebels who dare to break the curfew or ignore the 'advice' on self-isolation: which is *so* dystopian, it beggars belief.

Look at how casually certain things are being said now. Like *Sky News* in Australia telling us **'Civil liberties are a necessary casualty' in the war against the coronavirus'**: and that the government is acting "entirely as it should" by conferring greater powers on law enforcement at the temporary expense of democratic rights.

It's crazy, right? The surreal thing, again, is that this is presently playing out precisely like the almost clichéd end-game scenario that conspiracy theorists have been talking about for years and years.

In fact, it's **so** clichéd at this point that I almost find myself wondering if it's just too **obvious** to be true.

And if this had been happening a few years ago - say, under the pretext of combating terrorism rather than a pandemic - most of us would be resisting it or calling it out. But because we're all worried about our health - and the health and safety of family and friends - we're pretty much engaging in total submission.

Because what choice do we have? To be clear, I'm not saying we *should* be resisting it. Not at this time, when people's lives are at stake. But we certainly need to keep our eyes open and watch what's happening: in particular, to watch how long this goes on for and in what ways this situation evolves.

And what would we do anyway? Would we submit to compulsory assimilation into a digital identity and surveillance system and a cashless economy? Would we submit to mandatory vaccination?

Would we accept an indefinite police state or state of emergency? Hell, would some of us be *cheering* for it?

Look at how *quickly* we all already submitted to self isolation and social distancing: look at how quickly we got to where we are right now from where we were before. It was the blink of an eye.

And how long are the lockdowns supposed to go on for? How long is too long before public disobedience or unrest begins to happen? What if this situation goes on for months and months? Are people going to be able to remain in self-isolation for that kind of duration?

What if outbreaks of civil unrest and disobedience occur somewhere down the line and people begin violating the quarantine and social distancing practises? How will authorities deal with that? At that point in time, will the 'Chinese model' still be seen as the best one?

Because... that's a worrying thought.

That's also why it's worrying to hear about the military being deployed out into the streets: not for what's going on right now, but for what might be going on months down the line. And likewise with the enhanced powers being afforded to the police: ask those poor people in *India* whether it's a good thing to have police enforcing a lockdown.

Those of us who've been writing or researching on the subject of false flags and conspiracies and the slow erosion of civil liberties for years now have, essentially, been picturing or fearing a scenario precisely like this for ages: not of a global pandemic specifically, but of the curfews and the control and the police state and the fear, and the idea of the manufactured crisis designed to coral all of us sheep into our pens.

The fact that it does indeed *look* so much like that scenario is almost enough to make me wonder if this is all an *experiment* - even a psy-op: perhaps to observe our collective reactions and behaviour, our level of compliance or level of resistance, etc. To perhaps take notes on how easy or how difficult we are to completely control.

But there's more than enough to suggest this is in fact the real deal: and that we might be fast approaching a point of no return.

I'm not trying to be an alarmist. But if right now isn't the time for alarm, that time is likely to be coming soon anyway.

For the record, I'm not one of the people saying this is *fake*. Only that there are serious questions about how accidental all of this has been. And about how much of what has brought us to this state of affairs has been a 'mistake' and how much has been calculated precisely.

Again, I'm not on the same page as those who think COVID-19 doesn't even *exist*. Given what we've already established here about the bat coronavirus experiments from 2015 and the Wuhan biological lab, etc, it's difficult to think that the underlying health crisis here isn't real.

It's also very unlikely that China would've risked this level of embarrassment if there wasn't a real, genuine pandemic going on.

That being said, there *are* questions about the numbers we're being fed every day: and about how many people are actually dying from COVID-19 specifically or are instead actually dying from the "underlying health conditions" we keep hearing about.

I know people who work in the NHS and I know someone who works in a care home, for example, and she's told me that there's a definite blurring of the facts going on. She told me, for example, that elderly people in the home are dying of various conditions (which is standard); but that all of the deaths in recent weeks have been formally registered as being from COVID-19 - even though, according to her, none of them actually died of COVID-19 but of other conditions.

So, while I entirely accept that the virus is real and that many, many people are dying, there is apparently a grey area in regard to both the numbers and the cause of death.

And I'm not forwarding any answer here.

I don't know whether this pandemic was manufactured to go exactly the way it's gone; or if it was entirely an accident; although I entirely believe that most of the nations genuinely are just responding to an unforeseen crisis, that doesn't mean said crisis wasn't inflicted on all these nations as part of some grand design. Specific governments aren't always privy to the underlying agendas or overriding schemes of conspiratorial institutions or agencies.

I don't know that the truth is.

I just have questions: based on inconvenient facts. And I have fears for the near-future. Some of what I've laid out here may be overly pessimistic: and may not come to pass. I hope it doesn't – I hope I've misread the situation.

The main point here is that everyone needs to have their eyes open – and their critical faculties fully functioning - as we move down this road to wherever it is we're going to end up.

S. Awan
The Burning Blogger of Bedlam

ADDENDUM: A Note About the Death of Maeve Kennedy McKean…

Just a last note, which I've added on here as the main document was already finished when I started looking at this particular story.

I mentioned earlier the Professor Lawrence Gostin of Georgetown University, who had said **"we were deceived"** in relation to China's and the World Health Organisation's actions.

I note that another figure associated with Georgetown University is **Maeve Kennedy McKean**: the granddaughter of Robert F. Kennedy. In the last few days, her dead body was discovered, after she apparently died from accidental drowning near her home. Her young son's body was found two days later.

While it's fair to say that assassinating members of the Kennedy family seems to be a favorite sport for *someone* or another, I bring this up because Maeve Kennedy McKean's areas of work and study are very interesting in light of the fact that her sad death has come at this specific time of global pandemic and mass lockdown.

She served as the Executive Director of the **Global Health Initiative** at Georgetown University. During the Obama Administration, she was the first-ever Senior Advisor for Human Rights in the United States Department of State's global AIDS program and **the Office of Global Affairs at the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services**.

And she taught 'bio-ethics and human rights' at Georgetown too.

To be clear, I can see no clear evidence whatsoever that her death wasn't just a tragic accident: but for someone both of her lineage and her areas of speciality to die at this specific time is rather striking.

She was 'presumed dead' on April 3rd, her body found three days later.

https://www.weau.com/content/news/Body-of-Kathleen-Kennedy-Townsends-daughter-recovered-569427481.html/

It's also interesting to note that McKean's uncle, **Robert Kennedy Jnr** - son of Robert F. Kennedy and nephew of John F. Kennedy - has been one of the most high-profile 'anti-vaxxers' in the public sphere; and has been a high-profile opponent of Bill Gates's vaccination programmes around the world.

https://globalnews.ca/news/3253840/robert-de-niro-robert-f-kennedy-jr-offer-100g-to-anyone-who-can-provide-proof-vaccines-are-safe

His niece apparently disagreed with him on these matters publicly.

Nevertheless, I find it curious that such an expert specifically on 'global health', 'bio ethics' and human rights - and a Kennedy, no less - should die at this time: and achieve very little news coverage.

I haven't had enough time to really dig further into this matter. But I thought it worth mentioning here, as I haven't seen it brought up anywhere else.

Note: Since my website was shut down in the censorship purge 9 months or so ago, I haven't had a platform. Due to a mixture of both technical obstacles (particularly in trying to successfully migrate/preserve my very large archive of content) and personal/health problems, it has taken a long time to be able to establish a new platform. However, I would like all previous subscribers and supporters to know that some progress is being made now: and I am hoping to have a new website up-and-running very soon. I thank everyone for the support over the years.